

More Testing, Fewer Tests

Tyler A. Young



Let's build a permissioning system!

Ability	Logged out	Viewer	Author	Editor
View published post	✓	✓	✓	✓
View draft post		✓	✓	✓
Create post			✓	✓
Edit own post			✓	✓
Edit others' post				✓



Let's see some code!



Test diffusion

Logic gets smeared
across 4 × 5 tests

So much for tests
as documentation!

Realistically, there
could be 20–50 rows
in this table 😱

Ability	Logged out	Viewer	Author	Editor
View published post	✓	✓	✓	✓
View draft post		✓	✓	✓
Create post			✓	✓
Edit own post			✓	✓
Edit others' post				✓



The dark side of testing

Tests are code, and code is a liability.

All else being equal, more tests are worse than fewer tests.

- Slower to write
- Slower to run
- More to update when changing behavior
- More to take in when reading tests as documentation
- More to check when deciding if an existing test case should have covered a situation you're debugging



Can we get the same
(or better!) confidence
from fewer tests?



Permissions are policies

- Arbitrary
 - The opposite of objective
 - Few invariants
- High likelihood of changing or extending in the future



What about property-based testing?

- PBT uses invariants and generated data to check behavior
 - Every member of a sorted list is greater than or equal to the one before it
 - Round-trip through an encode + decode process produces the same value you started with
- Not all code is heavy on invariants, though!



Invariants in the permissions system

- Permissions increase left-to-right
- Never have more permissions on drafts vs. published posts
- Owned posts never have less permissions than unowned

Ability	Logged out	Viewer	Author	Editor
View published post	✓	✓	✓	✓
View draft post		✓	✓	✓
Create post			✓	✓
Edit own post			✓	✓
Edit others' post				✓



Policies are not invariants

- Logged-in viewers can see draft posts
- There's a button on the home page labeled "Sign Up"
- The Free plan gets features x and y , but the Professional plan also gets feature z
- Trials are 14 days



Invariants: Relative datetimes

```
@spec relative_datetime(DateTime.t()) :: String.t()
```

- 30 seconds or less → “just now”
- < 60 minutes → “x mins ago”
- < 24 hours → “x hours ago”
- < 30 days → “x days ago”
- < 365 days → “x months ago”
- Else → “x years ago”



Invariants: Relative datetimes

```
@spec relative_datetime(DateTime.t(), DateTime.t()) ::  
  String.t()  
def relative_datetime(dt, now \\ DateTime.utc_now())
```

- 30 seconds or less → “just now”
- < 60 minutes → “x min(s)”
- < 24 hours → “x hour(s)”
- < 30 days → “x day(s)”
- < 365 days → “x month(s)”
- Else → “x years”



[Aside] Property test coverage in CI

By default, you don't get great exploration of the edges in your property tests.

You probably want more runs, potentially with a capped runtime instead of capped number of tests.



The dark horse: snapshot testing

What if we recorded the behavior of the function as-is and compared future runs with this one?

Extremely useful when coming into a system with no tests

Libraries:

- [assert_value](#) (useful generically)
- [heyya](#) (for Phoenix LiveView)



You don't understand
a tool until you know
when not to use it.



Comparison of testing methods

	Barrier to entry	Speed to write	Maintenance & extension	Logical grouping	Communication
Traditional	Lowest	Slow	Linear	Low	Medium



Comparison of testing methods

	Barrier to entry	Speed to write	Maintenance & extension	Logical grouping	Communication
Traditional	Lowest	Slow	Linear	Low	Medium
Parameterized	Low	Slower	Sub-linear	High	High



Comparison of testing methods

	Barrier to entry	Speed to write	Maintenance & extension	Logical grouping	Communication
Traditional	Lowest	Slow	Linear	Low	Medium
Parameterized	Low	Slower	Sub-linear	High	High
Property	High	Slowest	Sub-linear	High	Depends



Comparison of testing methods

	Barrier to entry	Speed to write	Maintenance & extension	Logical grouping	Communication
Traditional	Lowest	Slow	Linear	Low	Medium
Parameterized	Low	Slower	Sub-linear	High	High
Property	High	Slowest	Sub-linear	High	Depends
Snapshot	Low	Fast	Linear	Low	Low



Comparison of testing methods

	Traditional	Parameterized	Property	Snapshot
Unconditional behavior	✓			
Low-impact behavior	✓			✓
Policies		✓	✓ (Double-check)	
Invariants			✓	
Low existing coverage				✓



Summary

- All else being equal, fewer tests are better
- Tools for writing fewer tests:
 - More assertions per test
 - For comprehensions within a test
 - Elixir 1.18 built-in parameterized tests
 - `parameterized_test` library
 - Property-based testing
 - Snapshot testing

*Need Elixir
development or
consulting?
Reach out!*

